

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,826	12/29/2000	Shigeru Mizoguchi	1232-4393US1	8826	
75	90 07/23/2003				
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
345 Park Avenu New York, NY	•		BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003	\leq	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Transmark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				8	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/751,826	MIZOGUCHI ET AL.					
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen M Brinich	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension					
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	e later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejection, even if					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) M they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>60-72</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: 41 and 44.							
Claim(s) rejected: 40,42,43,49 and 51. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
						8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	, ., <u>.</u>						

Stephen Blinich



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new recitation (claims 40 & 49) "wherein said image reading device has a memory arranged to store reference data and a correction unit arranged to correct an image signal with the reference data" raises new issues of patentability. Applicant's arguments pertaining to these claims (Paper #7: page 6, lines 11-14) are addressed to these new issues.

THOMAS D

PRIMARY EXAMINER